

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/626,026	(07/27/2000	Ashok Sengupta	54942USA4A.002 9991 EXAMINER	
32692	7590	10/12/2004			
3M INNOV	ATIVE	PROPERTIES CO	LEVY, NEIL S		
PO BOX 334 ST. PAUL,		22 2427		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SI. FAUL,	IVIIN 331.)3-3421		1616	

DATE MAILED: 10/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/626,026	SENGUPTA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Neil Levy	1616					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19/04							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(c)							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/626,026

Art Unit: 1616

Claims 1, 17, 18, 44,46, 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Imazato et al 5408022.

The rejection of record is maintained, in view of a Lack of designation of % urethane units of the claimed polyurethane, in open guise. Thus, Imazato is seen as a polyurethane – see (col. 8, iv)-.

Claims 1, 17, 41-44, 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wandegaer,

The rejection of record is maintained. Claim 1 should have been included in the prior rejection as 4 depends on 1 and 17 is rejected as it is a composition, no weight given to how formed.

Applicant's arguments filed on 7/19/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants arguments are to effect that Imazato has no polyurethane, and that bandager does not specify antimicrobial efficacy; however, it has not been shown that the quaternary group is not antimicrobial, and thus, since quat. are antimicrobial, this attribute would persuasive. Applicant's arguments to the balance of the rejections are persuasive.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Neil Levy whose telephone number is (571) 272-0619. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday 7 AM to 5:30 Pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gray Kunz can be reached on (571) 272-0887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Levy/LR October 5, 2001

> NEIL'S LEVY PRIMARY EXAMINER